Manifestation of Evil in Persian Mythology from the Perspective of the Zoroastrian Religion

Seyed Reza Ebrahimi $^{\rm 1}$ and Elnaz Valaei Bakhshayesh $^{\rm 2}$

1-Member of Academic Staff, Department of Foreign Languages and Literature, Islamic Azad University, Sanandaj Branch, Sanandaj, Iran.(corresponding author)

s.r.ebrahimi@iausdj.ac.ir
No,18, Karimi alley, Khoram St., Shahrak Sadii Ave.,
Tel: +0989123599623
2- Elnaz Valaei Bakhshayesh
yalaei.elnaz.b@gmail.com.

.

¹ Member of Academic Staff, Department of Foreign Languages and Literature, Islamic Azad University, Sanandaj Branch, Sanandaj, Iran.

s.r.ebrahimi@iausdj.ac.ir valaei.elnaz.b@gmail.com.

Abstract

Zoroastrianism is a religion developed in ancient Persia, and is established on the belief that there is a continuous struggle between good and villain. The Arvans have different gods before the appearance of Zoroastrianism. The common gods worshiped by both Indians and Persians were called *Daevas*. Emerging Zoroastrianism and its supreme god *Ahura Mazda*, in ancient Persian religion (Zoroastrianism), Daevas were considered as evil and called Ahriman (demon) stood high in the lines of the foes who opposed Ahura Mazda. The numbers of Daevas are a lot in Zoroastrianism religion. There are seven Daevas or villains against seven gods. Daevas are incarnated in Persian mythology as villains fight against lightness and benevolence. The first villain or Daeva set by Ahriman to oppose Vohu Manah, the god of Good Mind, is called Aka Manah (Akvan). "Aka" means ugly and "Manah" can be translated to thought, so Aka Manah means a villain with ugly thinking. Ferdowsi the great epic writer of the Persia depicted the old belief of Daeva as villain in his epic work, Shahnameh. This paper investigates the mythological villainy of Aka Manah and his villainy in Ferdowsi's Epic of the Kings or Shahnameh and defeat of the villain by Rostam, the hero of the legend.

Key words: Zoroastrianism, Villain, Daeva, Ahriman, Ahura Mazda, Aka Manah, myth.

Introduction

Hinnels begins his book *Persian mythology* by describing the function of myth. He believes that: myth is a part of the history because myth describes human being's attitude about himself and his surrounding world. This idea is very true about Persian mythology because its myths dealing with creation and recreation of the universe are interpretations of the world's process of being or ideas concerning them.³

Ancient Iranian believed that world is round like plate while the sky wasn't endless space but hard and compact essence like diamond encompasses the world in its shell. The earth was intact originally; there were neither mountains nor valleys. Sun and stars were in their orbit in the sky. Everything was quiet and orderly, but this order and peace was broke down by entering the devil. Devil broke the sky and penetrated the earth, fell into the water and entered the core of the universe and shook it when mountains came out.⁴

Zoroastrianism had its source in a branch of the great Iranian race. It rose to power as the religion of the Medo-Persian empire, and while it spread widely among the people, mostly in Asia Minor, its strongholds were always the Iranian lands. People who lived in India and in Iran were called Indo-European. Their gods were incarnated figures of ideals like Honesty or natural events such as storm or they were adventurous heroes like Indra and Keresaspa.⁵ They were commonly heroes fighting against threatening monsters.

³ - John R. Hinnells (1994), 11

⁵ - W. H. D. Rouse, *Presidential Address*, Folklore, Vol. 18, No. 1 Mar., 1907, pp. 12-23: "King of the Gods is Sakka, or Indra". Indra is the god of War and Weather, also the King of the gods or

The religion of the early Iranians was closely akin to that of the Vedic Indians. One of the greatest of the Iranian gods is Mithra, the Vedic Mitra; other Indo-Iranian gods are Zoroastrain devils, like Indra and Nasatya (Naonhaithya).⁶

Proto-Indo-Iranian religion is an ancient development of Indo-European religion. The religion whose supporters call themselves "Worshippers of Mazda," the Wise God, and which we commonly name after its founder Zoroastrianism, is in many ways of peculiar interest. Zoroastrianism is, further, extremely an ethical religion, both in its idea of God and of what God requires of men. It presents itself as a revelation of God's will through his prophet. His will is that men, rejecting the false gods, should serve the Wise Lord alone, obey his word, and contend on his side for the defeat of evil and the triumph of all good in nature and society and in the character of the individual.⁷

Cosmology of Creation

In the Zoroastrian, which has universally been represented as the classic example of a fundamental dualism, creation myth represents us with two gods-Ahura Mazda, who is good, and Angra Mainyu, the evil one. Ahura Mazda dwells in the lights on high, and Ahriman dwells in the eternal darkness in the depths⁸. In the Zoroastrian scriptures the primitive challenge between Ahura Mazda and Ahriman is expressed most entirely and appealingly in the Bundahishn. Here in this paper the relation of the rules of light and darkness is declared in the precise and formal manner. According to Zoroastrian tradition, world history is twelve thousands years divided into four three thousands. The first three thousands is original creation period. The second three thousands year is spent based on Ahura Mazda's will and the next period is combination of good and evil. The final three thousands is the time to defeat Ahriman. Ahura Mazda was on high in omniscience and goodness; for boundless time he was ever in the light. The light is the space and place of *Ahura Mazda* that is the Endless Light and omniscience and goodness are the entirety of Ahura Mazda. Ahriman, slow in knowledge, whose will is to smite, was deep down in the endless darkness. He was not even aware of the existence of Ahura Mazda until he arose from the chasm and came to the light. Ahriman, of course, being eternal in time past, believes himself also to be everlasting in time future. As Zoroastrian myth goes on, Ahura Mazda in his omniscience knew that Ahriman existed, that he would strike and, since his will is envy, would mingle with him; and from the beginning to the end he knew with what and how many instruments he would carry out his purpose¹⁰.

In ideal form he fashioned forth such creation as was needful. Then Ahriman rose up

Devas and Lord of Heaven or Svargaloka in Hinduism. In Iran, Indra became an arch-demon in the Zoroastrian religion. Kərəsāspa, the Avestan form of the name of Garshasp, a monster-slaying hero in Iranian mythology.

⁶ - George Foot Moore (1912), 182

⁷ - Bruce Lincoln (1997), 681-685

⁸ - Douglas A. Fox (1967), 129-137

⁹ - David Neil MacKenzie (1990), 547-551

¹⁰ - Jamal Ahmadi (2004), 108-127

from the lowest point and went to the border from when the lights are seen. When he saw the light of *Ahura Mazda* subtle, he rushed forward. Because his will is to smite and his substance is envy, he made rush to demolish it. Seeing courage and domination superior to his own, he fled back to the darkness and fashioned many demons, a creation destructive and meet for combat. *Ahriman*, then, once he comes to know of *Ahura Mazda's* existence, sets out to destroy him, but before doing so he makes a preliminary reconnaissance at the borders of *Ahura Mazda's* heavenly kingdom which, it should be noted, is intangible. ¹¹

According to one sect of the Zoroastrians, the *Zurvanites*¹², *Ahriman* did in fact "master the world" and that for a time *Ahura Mazda* had no force against him. *Ahriman* rules the world for nine thousand years, while *Ahura Mazda* rules higher than him, apparently in heaven: the one is king in time, the other in eternity. In the Pahlavi sources themselves a measure of earthly power is settled to *Ahriman*, though he never really has a chance of winning. According to the Bundahishn: *Ohrmazd* knew in his omniscience that within these nine thousand years three thousand would pass entirely according to the will of *Ahura Mazda*, three thousand would pass according to the will of both *Ahura Mazda*' and *Ahriman*, and that in the last battle the Destructive Spirit would be made powerless and that he himself would save creation from hostility. *Ahura Mazda*'s, knowing in what manner the end would be, offered peace to Ahriman, saying:

"O Ahriman, bring aid to my creation and give it praise that in reward therefor thou mayest be deathless and unageing, uncorrupting and undecaying. And the reason is this that if thou dost not provoke a battle, thou shalt not thyself be powerless, and to both of us there shall be benefit abounding." ¹⁵

But Ahriman cried out:

"I will not bring aid to thy creation nor will I give it praise, but I shall destroy thee and thy creation forever and ever: yea, I shall incline all thy creatures to hatred of thee and love of me." 16

And the interpretation thereof is that *Ahriman* thought *Ahura Mazda* was helpless against him, and that therefore did he offer peace. He accepted not but offered threats.

In Zoroastrian myth, the conflict between *Ahura Mazda* and *Ahriman*, probably dates back at least to the fourth century B.C. In the Bundahishn *Ahura Mazda* and *Ahriman* have

¹¹ -Mehrdad Bahar (2001), 34

¹² - Mary Boyce, *Some reflections on Zurvanism. Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies*, SOAS, London, 1957, pp.304–316. Zurvanism is a now-extinct branch of Zoroastrianism that had the divinity Zurvan as its First Principle (primordial creator deity).

¹³ - Farangabgh Dadegi (1989), 34

 $^{^{14}}$ - Ibid, $\bar{36}$

¹⁵ - Robert Charles Zaehner (1965), 93

¹⁶ - Ibid, 94

now agreed to limit the battle to nine thousand years. Ahriman now attacks again, and is again repulsed: "Then Ohrmazd chanted the *Ahunavar*- Zoroastrians sacred pray that *Ahura Mazada* recited to defeat Ahriman- and he showed to *Ahriman* his own final victory, the powerlessness of *Ahriman*, the destruction of the demons, the resurrection, the Final Body, and the independence of all creation from all aggression for ever and ever. When *Ahriman* beheld his own powerlessness and the destruction of the demons, he was rested low, collapsed, and fell back into the darkness. Unable to do hurt the creatures of *Ahura Mazda* for three thousand years *Ahriman* lay crushed.¹⁷

Unmistakably, we have here a dualism. All that comprises the world is the creation of one or the other of these two primal spirits, the good or positive elements ("Life") coming from one, and the evil or negative elements ("Not-Life") from the other. These spirits are *Spenta Mainyu* and *Angra Mainyu*. ¹⁸ *Ahura Mazda* or *Ormazd* means wise god and almighty, *Spenta Mainyu* holy is a symbol of creation *Ahura Mazda*. *Ahriman* and *Spenta Mainyu* are twins and *Ahriman* is symbol of destruction. *Spenta Mainyu* is symbol of *Asha* (honesty and rightness) and *Ahura Mazda* is supporter of *Asha*. *Ahriman* is symbol of lie and while *spenta mainyu* is considered as mere good, *Ahriman* is assumed as villain. The story of *Kaveh the Blacksmith and Azi dahaka* in Shahnameh proves the villainy of *Ahriman* whose aim is to annihilate human being.

Ahriman's ultimate goal is to get rid of mankind so he created Azi dahaka, a tyrannical ruler, to obliterate piety and generate ignorance and darkness¹⁹. Azi dahaka is a tyranny ruler who is known as a man with two serpents. Once Ahriman appeared to him as a faithful young man and asked permission to kiss Azi dahaka's shoulders on which two serpents grew then Ahriman said they must be fed with two young man's brain every day. This is the story of battle between right and wrong, human being and evil, justice and tyranny, love and hatred and finally between Ahriman and Ahura²⁰. Ahura Mazda besides Speanta Mainyu that is the head of seven immortals has other six accompanies, together they are called Amesha Spenta.²¹ In non-specific usage, the term Amesha Spenta denotes all the divinities that furthered or braced creation and all that are bounteous and holy. The "divine sparks" that appear in the Gathic Yasna 47.1 are: Vohu Manah roughly means good purpose, Asha Vashishta means truth and righteousness, Khasathra means desirable, Spenta Armaiti means holy and devotion, Haurvata means wholeness, and finally Ameretat which means immortality.²²

On the other hand evil spirit *Ahriman* creates his hordes of *dews* to counter the creation of *Ormuzd*. *Ahriman* is seen to create six *dews* that in Zoroastrian tradition are the

_

¹⁷ - John R. Hinnells ,op. cit, 89

¹⁸ - Mary Boyce (1979), 213

¹⁹ - Hinnells (1994), 60

²⁰ - Hamze Hosseinzadeh (2005), 56

²¹ - Mary, Boyce, 'Aməša Spənta', *Encyclopaedia Iranica*, 1,: Routledge & Kegan Paul, New York, 1983, pp. 933–936. Amesha Spenta is an Avestan language term for a class of divinity/divine concepts in Zoroastrianism, and literally means (in reverse word order).

²² - Mehrdad Bahar (1996), 41-42

antitheses of the Avestan *Amesha Spentas*. Mirroring the task of the *Amesha Spentas* through which *Ahura Mazda* realized creation, the six antitheses are the instrument through which *Angra Mainyu* creates all the fears in the world.²³

The six arch-demons are respectively: *Akoman* of evil thought and equivalent to Avestan *Akem Manah*, *Indar* that freezes the minds of the creatures from practicing righteousness, *Naonhaithya* of discontent, *Saurva* of oppression and desire, *Taurvi* the destroyer and at last *Zauri* who poisons plant creation (*Yasna* 32.3).

Akem Manah, the Deave

Akem Manah (Akəm Manah) is the Avestan language name of Zoroastrianism's demon of the "evil mind" or "evil purpose" or "evil thinking". Manah denotes a state of mind, and akem manah may thus be more precisely described as the state of mind or being that stops an individual from accomplishing his moral sense of duties. The hypostasis of this malign influence is the demon (*daeva*) Akem Manah, who appears in the Gathas.²⁴ Gathic *akem* manah may also be compared with acishtem manah "worst thinking," which reflects the later Zoroastrian opposition between akem manah and vohu manah, "good purpose." In the Zoroastrian texts of the 9th-12th centuries, Akoman is the second of Ahriman's devised to counter Ohrmuzd's creation of the world. This rank reflects Akem Manah's opposition to Vohu Manah who is the second of the Amesha Spentas²⁵. In the Gathas, the oldest texts of the Zoroastrian canon and believed to have been composed by Zoroaster himself, the daevas are 'wrong gods' or 'false gods' or 'gods that are rejected'. In the Younger Avesta, the daevas are noxious creatures that encourage chaos and disorder. In later tradition and folklore, the $d\bar{e}ws$ are personifications of every conceivable evil. In these pre-historic texts, where the term occurs 19 times, the daevas are a distinct category of "quite legitimate gods, who had, however, been rejected." In Yasna 32.3 and 46.1, the daevas are still worshipped by the Iranian peoples. Yasna 32.8 notes that some of the followers of Zoroaster had previously been followers of the daevas.

In the Gathas, the poet censures the *daevas* as being incapable of discerning truth (asha) from falsehood (*druj*). They are accordingly in "error" (*aēnah*-), which led them to have accepted the bad religion. Simultaneously, the Indo-Iranian legacy of the *daevas* as beneficent gods is still evident in numerous expressions that appear in both Avestan and Vedic Sanskrit. Furthermore, although the *daevas* are described as being incapable of proper

²⁴ - Bartholomae, Christian (1951), Taraporewala, Irach Jehangir Sorabji (trans.), ed., *Divine Songs of Zarathushtra: A Philological Study of the Gathas of Zarathushtra, Containing the Text With Literal Translation into English*, Bombay: K. R. Cama Oriental Institute The Gathas (Gāθās) are 17 hymns believed to have been composed by Zarathushtra (Zoroaster) himself. They are the most sacred texts of the Zoroastrian faith. They were later incorporated into the 72-chapter *Yasna*.

²³ - Ibid, 45-49

²⁵ - Jamal Ahmadi (2004), 108-127

discernment, they are never identified with the druj itself. The daevas are never themselves $druj^{26}$ "false" or dregvant "of the lie."

Although the *daeva*s are clearly identified *with* evil (e.g., *Yasna* 32.5), they are not identified *as* evil. They deceive mankind and themselves, but they are not *aka mainyu*. *Angra Mainyu* or *Ahriman* in Persian, once the Zoroastrian epitome of evil, lost its original Zoroastrian/Mazdaist identity in later Persian literature, and was eventually depicted as a *div*. Religious depictions of *Ahriman* made in the era following the Islamic invasion show *Ahriman* as a giant of a man with spotted body and two horns.²⁷

Found in abundance in Persian mythology are the *Dives*, meaning 'celestial' or 'bright'. These divinities were worshipped in pre-Zoroastrian Mazdaism, and as in Vedic religions, the adherents of the pre-Zoroastrian form of Mazdaism considered the *daēva* holy and sacred beings. It is only after the religious reforms of Zarathustra that the term *daēva* became associated with demons and villain. Even then the Persians living south of the Caspian Sea continued to worship the *daeva* and resisted pressure to accept Zoroastrianism, and legends that involve *daēva* live on to this day. Dive is one of the most important members of the legends and myths in the history of early Iranians. In ancient times this word was applied to a group of Arian's gods which were worshiped among Iranians and Indians. *Dive* meant "God" too. Before the immigration of Indo-Iranian Arian tribes from their common country, these tribes worshiped the natural elements as their "god", but after the appearance of Zoroaster some modifications took place in the field of Iranian religion. ²⁸ Zoroaster believed that only it is "*Ahura Mazda*" who deserved to be worshiped and he rejected worshiping other gods.

Dive as Villain in Persian Mythology

The characters of Persian mythology almost always fall into one of two camps. They are either good, or they are villain. The resultant conflict mirrors the ancient conflict, which in Persian mythology is based on the Zoroastrian concept of the dual emanation of Ahura Mazda. Spenta Mainyu is the source of constructive energy, while Angra Mainyu is the source of darkness, destruction, sterility, and death. Persian myths are traditional tales and stories of ancient origin, some involving extraordinary or supernatural beings. Drawn from the legendary past of Iran, they reflect the attitudes of the society to which they first belonged - attitudes towards the confrontation of good and evil, the actions of the gods, *yazats* (lesser gods), and the exploits of heroes and fabulous creatures. ²⁹ Myths play a crucial part in Iranian culture and our understanding of them is increasing when we consider them within the context of Iranian history. In Persian literature "Dive", incarnated as a villain, is introduced as a mythical creature with a body like a mankind, but giant, two horns and a tail, ugly and frightening. Based on common narrations they were homely deceived creatures who enjoyed eating the flesh of mankind. They were

²⁶ - *Druj* is a common expression that is used for Ahriman or Angra Mainyu or dives in Persian mythology.

²⁷ - Mahmoud Omidsalar (2001), 268-270

²⁸ - Robert Charles Zaehner (1961), 135

²⁹ - Kinga Ilona and Markus Takeshita (2001), 209-211

powerful, cruel and stone-hearted with big teeth like boar.³⁰ They had ability to transform themselves. They were skilled in conjuring and their clothes were made of pelt. Through Persian legendary epics like

Shahnameh, the well known masterpiece of Ferdowsi³¹, many dives blocked the way of the heroes. These dives are problematic villains. They are usually forces of enemy to help them in the wars between Iranians and the people of other countries but they are killed by Iranian heroes. The Dives are very powerful and they are able to do extraordinary tasks.

Mythological villainy of Akvan Dive

In Ferdowsi's *Shahnameh*, the story of *Akvan Dive* is a short account concerning villainy of a demon. *Akvan* is described as having long hair, blue eyes and a head like an elephants with a mouthful of tusks instead of teeth transformed himself into a zebra. Safa believes that:

"In my book, since the *dives* were used to wear animal fur -as Akvan wears zebra's- they are described as having horn and tail in old Iranian tales and myths, as well as Shahnameh."³²

Because the story of Akvan and his villainy is rooted in old beliefs of Iranian, there is a philosophical enlightenment at the beginning of the story to remind readers of power of great god and his conquer over all villainies and the role of good thought in Iranian beliefs.

Story begins when a shepherd of Iranian king *Kikavoos*³³ notifies the attack of a strange animal like zebra to the cattle. Be made aware by consultants about a dive, *Kikavoos* knows that the animal is not a zebra but *Akvan dive*. Accordingly, he asks the brave men of the army to beat Akvan. Unable to find anybody, *Kikavoos* asked *Rostam*³⁵ to help him to get rid of that dive. Rostam proceeds to the pasture of the shepherd. He searches the meadow for three days but he finds the dive in the fourth. When *Rostam* attempted to kill him, *Akvan* disappeared as the result of his own magic and made Rostam follow him on and on even as *Rostam* finds out that he is not an animal but a *dive*. Feeling tired *Rostam* fell into asleep

³⁰ - Zabiholah Safa (1990), 583

³¹ - E. Yarshater, *Afrasiab*, Encyclopedia Iranica - digital library; accessed January 18, 2007. Hakīm Abu'l-Qāsim Firdawsī Tūsī more commonly transliterated as Ferdowsi (or Firdausi), (935–1020) was a highly revered Persian poet. He was the author of the Shāhnāmeh, the national epic of Persian-speakers and of the Iranian World. His masterpiece, the Shāhnāmeh, is the most popular and influential national epics belonging to the Iranian people.

³² - Zabiholah Safa (1990), 583

³³ - E. Yarshater, *Afrasiab*, Encyclopedia Iranica - digital library; accessed January 18, 2007. Kay Kāvus is a mythological shah of Iran and a character in the Shāhnāmeh. Kāvus rules Iran for one hundred and fifty years during which he is frequently though increasingly grudgingly aided by the famous hero Rostam.

³⁴ - Ferdowsi (1965), 135

³⁵ - Dick Davis, 'Rustam-i Dastan', Iranian Studies, Vol. 32, No. 2, Taylor and Francis Spring, 1999, pp. 231-241. The most famous legendary character in the Persian epics and mythology is Rostam. Rustam is a figure who straddles the transition between the early world of the Shahnamah, when mankind and its heroes are beleaguered by devils, div.

beside a spring.³⁶ Rostam got up after a long sleep and found himself defeated by the dive. Akvan detached the piece of land on which Rostam was sleeping. Disappointed, Rostam knows that if he dies the dive can overcome all the Persian land. So when dive asked him to choose whether he prefers to be thrown into the sea or mountain, Rostam knew Akvan is spiteful and inverts his alternative he responded. Rostam told dive that throw him to the mountain and Dive throws him in to the sea. As swimming, Rostam struggled with sea animals and reached the shore and searched for his adored horse, Rakhsh. Finding his horse in the cattle of Afrasyab³⁷, he fights with his men to take back his horse and goes back to the place where he has been taken by Dive. He finds Dive in the same place and takes him and bangs him in the head with his strong weapon, gorz. Then he cuts of the head of Akvan and prays god. Ferdowsi calls dive wicked and villain who has diverted from the way of humanity and honesty.

Conclusion

In a general conclusion we can say that dive has a metaphorical meaning in Persian literature. The purpose of the usage of dive is the wicked and villains as they are the depiction of Satan. They annihilate the holy creatures. Their ugly and frightening faces are the symbol of their bad and evil deeds. In mystic and moral literature dive means concupiscence and internal Satan. They should be killed by pious and mature representatives of God. In fact god and *dives* are hostile to each other and in the legends villains in tales, story and mind of Iranian people are the incarnated figures of *dives*.

³⁶ - Ibid 1

³⁷ - E. Yarshater, *Afrasiab*, *Encyclopedia Iranica* - digital library; accessed January 18, 2007. Afrasiab (afrāsiyāb) Avestan: Fraŋrasyan; Pahlavi: Frāsiyāv, is the name of the mythical King and hero of Turan and an archenemy of Iran. Afrasiab formidable warrior, a skilful general, and an agent of Ahriman who is endowed with magical powers of deception to destroy the Iranian civilization.

REFERENCES

Ahmadi, Jamal, (2004), 'A Survey on Akvan Dive Myth', Journal of the Kavoshnameh, Vol.10, Yazd, 2004, pp.108-127.

Bahar, Mehrdad, A search on Iranian Mythology, Agah, Tehran, 2001. p.34-42.

Boyce, Mary, *Zoroastrianism: A Shadowy but Powerful Presence in the Judaeo-Christian World*, William's Trust, London, 1987.

Boyce, Mary, *Zoroastrians: Their Religious Beliefs and Practices*, Routledge London, 1979, p.213.

Boyce, Mary, 'Some reflections on Zurvanism'. *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies*, SOAS, London, 1957, pp. 304–316.

-----, The History of Zoroastrianism, vol. 1, Leiden, Brill. London,1975.

-----, The History of Zoroastrianism, vol. 2, Leiden: Brill, London, 1982.

-----, 'Ahura Mazdā', *Encyclopaedia Iranica*, 1, Routledge & Kegan Paul, New York, 1983, pp. 933-936.

Boyd, James W. and Donald, A., (), 'Is Zoroastrianism Dualistic or Monotheistic?' Journal of the American Academy of Religion, , Vol. 47, No. 4, Dec., 1979, Oxford University Press, pp. 557-588.

Dadegi, Faranbagh, Bundahishn, (trans). Bahar, Mehrdad, Tus, Tehran, 1989.

Davis, Dick, 'Rustam-i Dastan', Iranian Studies, Vol. 32, No. 2, Spring, 1999, Taylor & Francis, Ltd, pp. 231-241.

Duchesne, G., Jacques, 'Zoroastrianism', *Encyclopedia Americana*, 29, Grolier pages, Danbury 1988, pp. 813–815.

-----, 'Zoroastrianism', Relation to other religions, *Encyclopædia Britannica* (Online ed.), 2006, URL: http://www.britannica.com/eb/article-9207.

Fox, Douglas A, *Darkness and Light: The Zoroastrian View*, Journal of the American Academy of Religion, Vol. 35, No. 2, Oxford University Press, 1967, pp. 129-137.

Ferdawsi, Abu'l-Qasem, Shahnama. Critical edition edited by A. Bertels, M. N. Osmanov et al. 9 vols. Nauka. Moscow.1966-71.

Hinnells, John R., and Ogilvie, R. M., *Mithraic Studies*, The Classical Review, New Series, Vol. 27, No. 1, Cambridge University Press 1997, pp. 48-49.

Hinnells, John R., (1975), *Persian Mythology*, London, The Hamlyan Publishing Group, Translated by Zhalea Amouzegar, and Ahmad Tafazoli 1997, Tehran, Cheshmeh Publishing.

Hosseinzadeh, Hamze, *Azi dahaka, from Mythology to Reality*, Tehran: Tarfand press, 2005, p.56.

Ilona, Kinga, and Takeshita, Markus, 'From Iranian Myth to Folk Narrative': *The Legend of the Dragon-Slayer and the Spinning Maiden in the Persian Book of the Kings*, Asian Folklore Studies, Vol. 60, No. 2, Nanzan Institute for Religion and Culture, 2001, pp. 203-214.

Kellens, Jean, "Avesta", *Encyclopaedia Iranica*, 3, New York: Routledge and Kegan Paul pages 35–44.

Lincoln, Bruce, 'Traces of Iranian Creation Mythology', Journal of the American Oriental Society, Vol. 117, No. 4, American Oriental Society, 1997. pp. 681-685.

Malandra, William W. (2005), "Zoroastrianism: Historical Review", *Encyclopaedia Iranica*, New York: iranica.com.

Martin, L David, 1999, 'The Vision of Zoroaster': An Essay on the Mystical Origins of "The Good Vision", Iran & the Caucasus, Vol. 3, Brill, 1999 – 2000, pp. 9-32.

Moore, George Foot, 'Zoroastrianism', The Harvard Theological Review, Vol. 5, No. 2 Cambridge University Press, 1912, pp. 180-226.

Moulton, James Hope (1917), *The Treasure of the Magi: A Study of Modern Zoroastrianism*, London: (repr. 1997).

Omidsalar, Mahmoud, 'Rostam's Seven Trials and the Logic of Epic Narrative in the Shāhnāma', Asian Folklore Studies, Vol. 60, No. 2, Nanzan Institute for Religion and Culture, 2001, pp. 259-293.

Safa, Zabiholah, , *Epic in Iran*, Ferdoas, Tehran, 1991, pp.583-585.

Smith, Henry Goodwin, *Persian Dualism*, The American Journal of Theology, Vol.8, No 3, 1904, pp. 481-501

Zaehner, Robert Charles, *The Dawn and Twilight of Zoroastrianism*, Phoenix Press, London, 1961, p. 135

-----, *Zoroastrian Survivals in Iranian Folklore* Vol. 3, Iran, British Institute of Persian Studies, London, 1965, pp. 87-96.